

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
NEW YORK COUNTY**

**PRESENT: HON. JUDY H. KIM**

**PART 05**

*Justice*

-----X

THE NEW YORK CITY MUNICIPAL LABOR COMMITTEE,  
UNIFORMED SANITATIONMEN'S ASSOCIATION, LOCAL  
831 IBT, POLICE BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION,  
UNIFORMED FIREFIGHTERS ASSOCIATION LOCAL 94  
I.A.F.F. AFL-CIO, UNIFORMED FIRE OFFICERS  
ASSOCIATION, UNITED FEDERATION OF TEACHERS,  
COUNCIL OF SCHOOL SUPERVISORS AND  
ADMINISTRATORS, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD  
OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 237, IBT, CORRECTION  
OFFICER'S BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION,  
COMMUNICATION WORKERS OF AMERICA NYC LOCAL  
1180, SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION,  
LOCAL 246, LIEUTENANTS BENEVOLENT  
ASSOCIATION, SERGEANT'S BENEVOLENT  
ASSOCIATION, DETECTIVES ENDOWMENT  
ASSOCIATION, CAPTAINS ENDOWMENT ASSOCIATION,  
SANITATION OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION, LOCAL 444,  
UNIFORMED SANITATION CHIEFS ASSOCIATION,  
INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS,  
LOCAL 30, DISTRICT COUNCIL NO. 9 PAINTERS &  
ALLIED TRADES, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF  
ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL 3, INTERNATIONAL  
UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, LOCAL 891,  
SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL  
300, BOILERMAKERS, BLACKSMITHS & METAL WORK  
MECHANICS, LOCAL 5, SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
INTERNATIONAL UNION SUPERVISORS, LOCAL 621,  
ORGANIZATION OF STAFF ANALYSTS, N.Y.C. DISTRICT  
COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS, UBCJA, CORRECTION  
CAPTAINS' ASSOCIATION, INC., UNITED PROBATION  
OFFICERS ASSOCIATION, ALLIED BUILDING  
INSPECTORS, LOCAL 211

**INDEX NO. 151169/2022**

**INTERIM ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

- v -

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, BOARD OF EDUCATION OF  
THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW  
YORK, THE NEW YORK CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY,

Defendants.

-----X

The Court declines to grant plaintiffs' request, in its proposed Order to Show Cause  
(NYSCEF Doc. No. 26), for an order temporarily restraining defendants from terminating certain

employees represented by plaintiffs pending the hearing of plaintiffs' complaint. Plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate a sufficient likelihood of success on the merits, a reasonable risk of irreparable harm, or that the equities balance in their favor to justify such relief (See e.g., *Dua v New York City Dept. of Parks and Recreation*, 84 AD3d 596, 597 [1st Dept 2011]).

This constitutes the decision and order of the Court.

DATE: 2/10/2022

  
JUDY H. KIM, JSC

Check One:

☐

Case Disposed

☒

Non-Final Disposition

Check if Appropriate:

☒

Other (Specify

DENIAL OF TRO – RELATED TO NYSCEF DOC NO.26 )